TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

May 12, 1997 LB 216

affirmative solutions to problems which I myself might spotlight. This is one of those times when I have had amendment drafted to the appropriations bill. You are going to see a copy of it coming around. It would substitute, for this fee, a General Fund appropriation of \$1,116,000 and some small And that would be for operations in the computer automation program to replace the revenue lost when this execrable fee is abolished. There is no justification for this There was none in the first place. As I put in the little note accompanying this transcript, the hearing was lively. is very readable. The material looks like it is triple spaced. So although there will be found a total of 28 individual pages, it were single spaced that would be cut at least in half. I underlined some material for the purpose of calling your attention to it. But I think it would be productive to read the hearing, to see what is behind this fee, and you will see where there was a reluctance on the person from DAS to acknowledge that DMV is relying on this fee to keep its activities going forward.

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I had asked him, if the courts do pull out can this other system be maintained? Well,he said he would have to go to DMV and tell them that they have got to come up with some money and that would cause repercussions over there. I say again, this is a serious amendment...well, I am on a motion right now. We can get this thing out of the way, this bill, off the table. We should kill it. When we get to 389 again adopt my amendment to have a General Fund appropriation. That is how the essential elements of the court system should be funded. If you want to engage in some discussion, I hope we will have it on this kill motion, then we will adopt the motion.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thanks, Senator. Senator Wehrbein, to address the Chambers kill motion.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members, thank you. Senator Chambers has the, I think, the policy decision outlined very well. It is either we continue with the three dollar per case court automation fee, which we have had in place...and by the way there is a 2001 sunset on it, so it is four years...or